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Abstract
As part of a science education reform initiative, a series of Web GIS tectonics investigations with educative materials and embedded supports were developed. These supports were designed to address the need to provide “just in time” professional development to help educate teachers about important tectonics concepts and to support their development of geospatial pedagogical content knowledge to teach with a novel Web-based curriculum. A curriculum implementation study was conducted with twelve grade 8 urban middle level science teachers that implemented the Web GIS investigations with 1,124 students. Data sources included a student pretest-posttest tectonics measure, 33 classroom observations, a post-implementation survey and a focus group interview. Results indicated that the educative curriculum materials were effective in supporting the science teachers’ professional growth during the curriculum enactment and supported their teaching of the Web GIS investigations.

The available time within a school year to provide inservice science teachers with quality face-to-face professional development to adopt new science education technology-integrated curriculum is limited. During the past years, we have partnered with a unionized urban school district in a systemic middle level science curriculum reform effort. During this time, school financial resources have been extremely limited and science teachers have been allowed to attend only two or three days of face-to-face professional development during the school year. To address this professional development time constraint, we have developed and implemented a novel way of providing science teacher professional development that includes substantial materials designed to promote professional growth within Web-based curriculum materials.

Curriculum materials can be designed to incorporate professional development learning opportunities for science teachers to assist them with deepening their understandings of science content in addition to accomplishing instructional goals for their students. They may influence teacher decision-making by conveying instructional practices, providing appropriate science content materials, or providing pedagogical implementation ideas (Davis & Krajcik, 2005). Curriculum designers can develop learning materials that better accommodate instruction by moving away from the traditional mode of instructional design models of curriculum as a “one-size-fits all students” model and instead provide for flexible adaptations to instructional implementation. Such curriculum designs can provide for different modes of instruction that are important given the diverse nature of students and their abilities in science classrooms.

When curriculum materials are expected to take on the role of change agent and transform teacher practice – the challenges of effective implementation are heightened. Unfortunately, research has shown that there are many obstacles that teachers face when they attempt to use curriculum materials that are based on an instructional approach to teaching and learning that differs from their own experiences as teachers or learners (Stein, Grover, & Henningsen, 1996). This is especially true when teachers enact instructional materials that utilize geospatial technologies (GT) to support inquiry-based learning environments. Studies have shown that teachers may experience technical issues pertaining to the interface design of software, have time constraints to learn how to use GT software applications to effectively teach...
students, undergo difficulty with adapting developed learning materials to easily integrate into their own school curriculum, and may lack pedagogical content knowledge conducive to teaching with GT in classroom settings (Baker & Bednarz, 2003; Patterson, Reeve, & Page, 2003; Trautmann & MaKinster, 2010).

One way of addressing these challenges is to design curriculum materials to promote the pedagogical design capacity of teachers - that is their ability to perceive and mobilize curriculum materials and resources for effective instructional enactment (Brown, 2009). The concept of pedagogical design capacity suggests that curriculum materials can be designed in ways to facilitate productive use by teachers to accomplish learning goals. This implies the importance of including embedded supports within the curriculum in the form of educative curriculum materials - features of curriculum materials designed to support teacher pedagogical content knowledge in addition to student learning (Davis & Krajcik, 2005). Educative curriculum materials have the potential to support teacher learning in a variety of ways. For example, they may help teachers learn how to anticipate and interpret what learners may think about or do in response to instructional activities (Remillard, 2000). They may also support teachers’ learning of subject matter (Schneider & Krajcik, 2002). Educative curriculum materials can also include pedagogical implementation supports provided in the materials in order to engage teachers in the ideas underlying curriculum developers’ decisions (Davis and Krajcik, 2005; Remillard, 2000). In these ways, educative curriculum materials can promote a teacher’s pedagogical design capacity, or his or her ability to use instructional resources and the supports embedded in curriculum materials to adapt curriculum to achieve productive instructional ends (Brown, 2009).

**Context and Instructional Supports**

In partnership with an urban school district, we developed a series of six Web GIS tectonics investigations designed to augment the middle school Earth science curriculum. The investigations were developed using a curriculum design approach for geospatial thinking and reasoning (see Figure 1) that builds on our group’s prior design work for teaching and learning with geospatial technologies (Bodzin, Anastasio, & Kulo, 2014). They were designed for students to investigate important tectonics concepts that are more difficult to understand using a traditional text and worksheet-based medium. The investigations were intended to promote geospatial thinking and reasoning skills as students analyzed, inferred, and evaluated georeferenced earthquakes, volcanoes, plate boundaries, heat flow, age of the ocean floor, and other data in the Web GIS to understand important concepts related to heat flow, plate movements, and tectonic effects related to natural hazards. The learning activities were purposefully designed for students to use geospatial analysis to examine geospatial patterns and relationships within the data. The investigations are available at: [http://www.ei.lehigh.edu/eli/tectonics](http://www.ei.lehigh.edu/eli/tectonics).

The Web-based visualization and analysis tools were developed with Javascript APIs to enhance the Web GIS interface. They are compatible with computers and mobile learning devices (such as iPads, other tablet devices, and smart phones) that are rapidly appearing in schools. The Web GIS interface integrated graphics, multimedia, and animations that allows users to explore and discover geospatial patterns that are not easily visible as static single maps. The Web GIS features included a swipe tool that enabled users to see underneath layers, query tools useful in exploration of earthquake and volcano data layers, a subduction profile tool, and an elevation profile tool that facilitated visualization between map and cross-sectional views, a suite of draw and label tools, a geolocation function, and interactive image dragging.
functionality. The Web GIS tool set enabled learners to view, dynamically manipulate, and analyze rich data sets to make informed decisions about living in areas containing seismic hazards and fault zones.

The investigations included a series of educative curriculum materials based on our prior work (Bodzin, Peffer, & Kulo, 2012) to support teacher implementation of the investigations. These support features included:

- **Instructional Framework** section. This section provides teachers with an overview of the curriculum framework, design principles, and the instructional model for teaching with geospatial technologies. This section also presents science education standards alignment.

- **Teacher Guides**. Instructional guides designed to support a teacher’s implementation of a specific learning activity. They include detailed information for viewing and analyzing geospatial data during the learning activities and also include implementation suggestions and ideas to adapt a learning activity for different types of learners.

- **Support Materials** section. This section includes Web pages that contain text, graphics, and animations designed to enhance a teacher’s content knowledge about a particular tectonics topic that are unique to our Web GIS learning activities. This section also includes tutorial videos that provide detailed overviews of each Web GIS learning activity that focus on promoting geospatial thinking and reasoning.

- **Instructional sequence** Web pages. These Web pages include a recommended implementation sequence for each investigation, implementation suggestions, and hypertext links to content supports and specific materials needed for the learning activities including the Web GIS, assessments, student investigation sheets and handouts, teacher guides, and Web GIS tutorial videos.

---

**Geospatial Science Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge**

- Interactions between geospatial technology and pedagogical content knowledge to produce effective Earth science teaching and student learning.
- Modeling geospatial data exploration and analysis techniques.
- Scaffolding students’ geospatial thinking and analysis skills.

**Earth and Environmental Science Content**

- Human-Environment Interactions: Know and apply geographic information about relationships between nature and society.
- Physical Geography: Know and apply geographic information about the processes that shape physical landscapes, natural hazards, and tectonic processes.

**Geospatial Science and Analysis Skills**

- Use Web GIS to manage, display, query, and analyze geospatial data.
- Use geospatial analysis to process geospatial data for the purpose of making calculations and inferences about space, geospatial patterns, and geospatial relationships.
- Use geospatial data analysis in which geospatial relationships such as distance, direction, and topologic relationships (e.g. adjacency, connectivity, and overlap) are particularly relevant.
• Use inductive and deductive reasoning to analyze, synthesize, compare, and interpret information.
• Use logic and reasoning to identify strengths and weaknesses of alternative solutions, conclusions, or approaches to problems.

Figure 1. Key components of the geospatial curriculum design approach.

The curriculum includes educative materials and embedded supports designed to assist teacher development of both tectonics content knowledge and pedagogical content knowledge for effective curriculum enactment. We developed these supports to address the need to provide “just in time” professional development experiences to help educate teachers about important tectonics concepts and to support their development of geospatial pedagogical content knowledge to teach with a novel curriculum that promotes geospatial thinking skills applied to tectonics concepts. The teachers in this study received two days of face-to-face professional development prior to implementing the Web GIS investigations with their students.

Goal of this Study

The goal of this study was to investigate the effectiveness of the curriculum and educative materials to support science teachers’ professional growth during the curriculum enactment of the Web GIS investigations.

Methodology

Twelve grade 8 urban middle level science teachers implemented the Web GIS investigations with 1,124 students during the 2012-13 school year. Thirty-three observations were conducted in the teachers’ classrooms during the curriculum enactment with a fidelity of implementation protocol. After the curriculum implementation, the teachers completed a post-implementation survey consisting of 24 Likert items and 4 open-ended response items designed to examine teachers' professional growth through the use of both the curriculum support materials and the implementation of the Web GIS investigations. A focus group was also conducted with the teachers using a 6-item questionnaire protocol that focused on the effectiveness of the materials to support teacher enactment of the Web GIS investigations. The students completed a 34-item pre-posttest tectonics content knowledge and geospatial skills measure (Cronbach’s alpha = 0.86) before and after the curriculum implementation.

Findings

Pedagogical implementation was mostly consistent for each teacher for each ability track level they taught. There was little variability among the teachers with regards to adherence to the key elements of the geospatial curriculum approach. For the majority of observed lessons, instruction was highly structured with much explicit modeling using a projected image. Whole-group scaffolding was used for geospatial analysis as students worked on individual laptops or in dyads to complete the investigations. Most teachers did not modify the instructional materials and enacted the investigations as designed. Observational protocol data found students’ engagement and involvement in the learning activities was high.

The majority of teachers completed all six Web GIS investigations and most teachers (83.7%) stated they either always or frequently adhered to all 8 events in the geospatial curriculum approach. Analysis of the teachers’ survey responses indicated they believed the geospatial curriculum approach improved their students’ understandings of Earth science
concepts and processes. All but one teacher stated they believed that using Web GIS tectonics investigations enhanced what they typically did in their classrooms to teach Earth science.

We analyzed the teachers’ perceived impact of the curriculum materials to support their pedagogical content knowledge related to teaching tectonics with Web GIS from both the survey responses and the focus group interview. Results indicated that the curriculum materials were effective in supporting the science teachers’ professional growth during the curriculum enactment and supported their teaching of the Web GIS investigations. Most teachers perceived that both their tectonics content knowledge and geospatial thinking and reasoning skills were enhanced as a direct result of their use of the curriculum (see Tables 1 and 2). Teacher understandings of how Web GIS can be used effectively in science classroom instruction to achieve learning goals (pedagogical content knowledge) were also enhanced as a result of their direct interactions with the curriculum materials (Table 3). Many teacher survey responses noted that interactions with the curriculum enhanced their capacity to adapt their instruction using geospatial curriculum learning materials for effective instructional enactment.

Students’ tectonics content knowledge and geospatial thinking and reasoning applied to tectonics achieved statistically significant gains from pretest to posttest \( p < .001 \). The effect sizes were large (>1.00, using the cutoff .80 from Cohen, 1988). This result also supports that the embedded educative curriculum materials helped to support teachers' implementation.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree % (n)</th>
<th>Disagree % (n)</th>
<th>No Opinion % (n)</th>
<th>Agree % (n)</th>
<th>Strongly Agree % (n)</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>My knowledge about Web GIS increased as I used the support materials (Teachers Guide, videos) provided on the ELI Tectonics Web site.</td>
<td>0.0% (0)</td>
<td>0.0% (0)</td>
<td>8.3% (1)</td>
<td>75.0% (9)</td>
<td>16.7% (2)</td>
<td>4.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My geospatial thinking and reasoning skills increased as I used the support materials (Teachers Guide, videos) provided on the ELI Tectonics Web site.</td>
<td>0.0% (0)</td>
<td>0.0% (0)</td>
<td>33.3% (4)</td>
<td>41.7% (5)</td>
<td>25.0% (3)</td>
<td>3.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My content knowledge about tectonics increased as I used the support materials (Teachers Guide, videos, content background pages) provided on the ELI Tectonics Web site.</td>
<td>0.0% (0)</td>
<td>8.3% (1)</td>
<td>16.7% (2)</td>
<td>50.0% (6)</td>
<td>25.0% (3)</td>
<td>3.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My understanding to how Web GIS can be used to promote science learning increased as I used the support materials (Teachers Guide, videos, content background pages) provided on the ELI Tectonics Web site.</td>
<td>0.0% (0)</td>
<td>0.0% (0)</td>
<td>16.7% (2)</td>
<td>50.0% (6)</td>
<td>33.3% (4)</td>
<td>4.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2
Teacher knowledge gains during implementation of Web GIS with students. (n=12)
Scale: 1 (Strongly Disagree) to 5 (Strongly Agree)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree % (n)</th>
<th>Disagree % (n)</th>
<th>No Opinion % (n)</th>
<th>Agree % (n)</th>
<th>Strongly Agree % (n)</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>My knowledge about Web GIS increased as I used the ELI Tectonics Web GIS investigations.</td>
<td>0.0% (0)</td>
<td>8.3% (1)</td>
<td>8.3% (1)</td>
<td>33.3% (4)</td>
<td>50.0% (6)</td>
<td>4.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My geospatial thinking and reasoning skills increased as I used the ELI Tectonics Web GIS investigations.</td>
<td>0.0% (0)</td>
<td>8.3% (1)</td>
<td>8.3% (1)</td>
<td>50.0% (6)</td>
<td>33.3% (4)</td>
<td>4.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My content knowledge about tectonics increased as I used the ELI Tectonics Web GIS investigation with my students.</td>
<td>0.0% (0)</td>
<td>16.7% (2)</td>
<td>8.3% (1)</td>
<td>41.7% (5)</td>
<td>33.3% (4)</td>
<td>3.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My understanding of how Web GIS can be used to promote science learning increased as I used the ELI Web GIS investigations.</td>
<td>8.3% (1)</td>
<td>0.0% (0)</td>
<td>16.7% (2)</td>
<td>41.7% (5)</td>
<td>33.3% (4)</td>
<td>3.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3
End of Tectonics unit implementation survey responses pertaining to the usefulness of curriculum support materials (n=12)
Scale: 1 (Strongly Disagree) to 5 (Strongly Agree)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree % (n)</th>
<th>Disagree % (n)</th>
<th>No Opinion % (n)</th>
<th>Agree % (n)</th>
<th>Strongly Agree % (n)</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The teacher support materials (teacher guides, content materials, FAQs) helped me to use the Web GIS with my students.</td>
<td>0.0% (0)</td>
<td>0.0% (0)</td>
<td>8.3% (1)</td>
<td>41.7% (5)</td>
<td>50.0% (6)</td>
<td>4.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The curriculum materials provided me with information to help my students view, manipulate, and analyze rich data sets using the Web GIS.</td>
<td>0.0% (0)</td>
<td>8.3% (1)</td>
<td>0.0% (0)</td>
<td>66.7% (8)</td>
<td>25.0% (3)</td>
<td>4.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The teacher support materials (teacher guides, content materials, videos) provided pedagogical supports for me to think about how I might adapt my instructional practices to meet the needs of my students.</td>
<td>0.0% (0)</td>
<td>8.3% (1)</td>
<td>25.0% (3)</td>
<td>8.3% (1)</td>
<td>58.3% (7)</td>
<td>4.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The instructional materials (student handouts, assessment items) could easily be modified to address the needs of my students.</td>
<td>0.0% (0)</td>
<td>8.3% (1)</td>
<td>16.7% (2)</td>
<td>16.7% (2)</td>
<td>58.3% (7)</td>
<td>4.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The teacher support materials (teacher guides, content materials, videos) introduced me to ways of teaching Earth science with Web GIS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0.0%</th>
<th>0.0%</th>
<th>16.7%</th>
<th>33.3%</th>
<th>50.0%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0)</td>
<td>(0)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion**

Many science teachers have not had professional development experiences that foster sufficient science pedagogical content knowledge to adopt and implement Web GIS in science classrooms that promotes science learning and the development of geospatial thinking and reasoning skills. Providing science teachers with pedagogical content knowledge and Web GIS investigations that promote geospatial thinking and reasoning skills applied to tectonics concepts is an important priority within the science education community and therefore contributes significantly to science teacher education.

In this project, the teachers received only two days of face-to-face professional development prior to implementing the Web GIS investigations as part of their curriculum. This time provision reflects the reality of many urban school districts that have limited resources available to provide their teachers with face-to-face professional development experiences. This project illustrates a model for designing technology-integrated curriculum with educative curriculum materials that can be used to support the professional growth of teachers when face-to-face professional development time is limited. The designs of the supported features we have developed can serve as a model to other teacher educators and curriculum developers to help promote the teaching and learning of science and other subject areas with Web GIS and other instructional technologies. We contend that providing embedded professional development within curriculum materials is a necessary and transformative educational mechanism, since many professional development constraints exist for teachers to adopt and implement reform-based science curriculum in urban school systems (Fishman, et al., 2003).
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